



Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Statements for the year ended August 31, 2016

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MDA") of Avalon Advanced Materials Inc. (the "Company" or "Avalon") is an analysis of the Company's financial results for the year ended August 31, 2016 (the "Year" or "Fiscal 2016"). The following information should be read in conjunction with the accompanying audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto. This MDA is prepared as of November 28, 2016.

Nature of Business and Overall Performance

Avalon is a Canadian mineral exploration and development company that is listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange in Canada, traded on the OTCQX Best Market in the United States and also trades on the Frankfurt Stock Exchange in Germany. The Company seeks to build shareholder value by becoming a diversified producer and marketer of specialty metals and minerals and expanding the markets for its mineral products.

Avalon operates primarily in Canada with a focus on rare metals and minerals, including lithium, tantalum, niobium, cesium, indium, gallium, germanium, rare earth elements ("REE"), yttrium, zirconium as well as tin.

The Company is in the process of exploring or developing four of its six mineral resource properties. The Company completed a preliminary economic assessment of its Separation Rapids Lithium Project in September, 2016. Previously, the Company completed a feasibility study on its Nechalacho Project in April 2013 ("Nechalacho FS"), and its Report of Environmental Assessment (the "Report of EA") was approved by the Minister of Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada ("AANDC") in November 2013. Nechalacho is the Company's most advanced rare metals project, but is largely inactive at the present time due to reduced demand for rare earths, although it could be re-activated at any time.

The Company has embraced the principles of sustainability as core to its business practice and has made a strong commitment toward implementing corporate social responsibility ("CSR") best practices. In November 2016, the Company released its fifth comprehensive Sustainability Report entitled Minerals for Transitioning Economies (the "2016 Sustainability Report").

The Company believes that industrial demand for the advanced materials products it seeks to produce, including lithium compounds, REE and tin, is growing due to their importance in an expanding array of applications in technology related to energy efficiency and a cleaner environment.

Selected Annual Information

The following selected financial data for each of the three most recently completed fiscal years are derived from the audited annual financial statements of the Company, which were prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

For the Years Ended August 31,	2016	2015	2014
	\$	\$	\$
Revenue (Interest)	35,160	66,014	88,075
Net Loss before discontinued operations	3,539,645	3,176,374	5,730,581
Net Loss before discontinued operations, per share basic and diluted	0.02	0.02	0.05
Net loss	3,539,645	3,176,374	5,730,581
Net loss, per share basic and diluted	0.02	0.02	0.05
Total assets	118,515,050	119,223,274	116,837,367
Total long term liabilities	263,600	263,600	236,600
Cash dividends	-	-	-

The Company has recorded losses in each of its three most recently completed fiscal years and expects to continue to record losses until such time as an economic mineral deposit is developed and brought into profitable commercial operation on one or more of the Company's properties, or is otherwise disposed of at a profit. Since the Company has no revenue from operations, annual operating losses typically represent the sum of business expenses, any impairment losses recognized on its mineral properties and adjustments to the fair value for the US dollar denominated warrants. The Company may increase or decrease its level of business activity in coming years and if it does, investors can anticipate that the Company's annual operating losses will also increase or decrease until an economic mining operation is brought into profitable commercial production, or one or more of the Company's properties are disposed of at a profit.

Exploration and Development Activities

Expenditures on resource properties for Fiscal 2016 totalled \$4,085,283, a 17% increase from the level of expenditures for the year ended August 31, 2015 ("Fiscal 2015") which totalled \$3,485,658. Of these expenditures, 45% were incurred on the Separation Rapids Lithium Project, 46% were incurred on the East Kemptville Tin-Indium Project (the "East Kemptville Project") and 7% were incurred on Nechalacho. Expenditures on the East Kemptville Project increased to \$1,862,905 from \$1,291,004 in Fiscal 2015, primarily related to the 2015 drilling program (completed in the fall of 2015), and the increased level of activities generally which included metallurgical test work, environmental studies and economic modelling of various site re-development models under consideration. Expenditures on the Separation Rapids Lithium Project increased to \$1,843,520 from \$548,170 in Fiscal 2015. The increase is primarily related to increased metallurgical, process development work and the preliminary economic assessment of the lithium battery materials business opportunity. The decreased expenditures on the Nechalacho Project were mostly due to the cessation of field operations and reduced development work activities.

Resource property expenditures for the three months ended August 31, 2016 (the "Quarter") totalled \$626,918, a 39% decrease from the level of expenditures for the quarter ended August 31, 2015 (\$1,033,586). Of these expenditures, 75% were incurred on the Separation Rapids Lithium Project. 19% were incurred on the East Kemptville Project, and 3% were incurred on the Nechalacho Project. The decreased expenditures are due primarily to the decreased level of activities on the East Kemptville Project following the completion of the 2015 drilling program in the fall of 2015. This was partially offset by the increased expenditures on the Separation Rapids Lithium Project.

As at August 31, 2016, the Company decided not to renew the mineral claims of its Miramichi Tin Project which were due for renewal in September 2016, accordingly the cost incurred to-date of \$218,620 was written off as an impairment loss in Fiscal 2016. The Company has also recognized an impairment loss of \$5,318 relating to the maintenance expenditures incurred on the Warren Township Project.

Separation Rapids Lithium Project

During the Year, the Company incurred \$1,843,520 (2015 - \$548,170) in expenditures on the Separation Rapids Lithium Project, in the Paterson Lake area of Ontario. Approximately 63% was spent on metallurgical laboratory test work, 22% on the Preliminary Economic Assessment (“PEA”), 9% on updating the geological resource model, with the balance incurred on environmental studies, permitting, and community engagement.

During the Quarter, the Company incurred \$467,255 (2015 - \$173,598) in expenditures of which approximately 35% were incurred on metallurgical test work on both the petalite and feldspar production flowsheet and the hydrometallurgical process flowsheet designed to recover a lithium battery material (lithium hydroxide), 34% were incurred on preparation of the PEA, 16% on updating the geological resource model, 14% on environmental studies and permitting.

As part of the geological work in the Quarter, quantitative mineralogical studies were completed on drill core samples using Qemscan and X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) at independent laboratories. The data was combined with historic mineralogical work to determine the average feldspar content of the deposit as stated in the Mineral Resource estimates as of October 21, 2016 given below. The average total feldspar content of 39%, which is the total of albite and potassium feldspar, is virtually identical to that determined on metallurgical test samples. The end result of this was the ability to reliably estimate the feldspar resources in the Separation Rapids deposit.

Additional geological work in the Quarter to support a new resource model, which was prepared following NI 43-101 procedures, involved re-assaying historic drill core using a new certified rock lithium analytical standard in order to validate historic drill core assays. Also there was a complete internal audit of the geological drill hole database against historic drill logs, assay certificates and survey locations.

Metallurgical investigations for the concentrator were focused firstly on optimisation of the flotation process particularly with regards to the impact of various recycle streams. The second area of focus was on reducing the respirable free silica content of the feldspar concentrate. This was successfully achieved maximizing the value and marketability of the feldspar product.

For the hydrometallurgical plant, work continued on process development for the lithium hydroxide production flowsheet and the production of some final hydroxide crystals was achieved at the end of the program.

Preliminary Economic Assessment

Subsequent to the end of the Quarter, the Company completed a PEA on the Separation Rapids Lithium Deposit (the “Deposit”) to evaluate its potential for production of a lithium battery material (lithium hydroxide) from the petalite lithium mineral concentrate.

Please note that the preliminary economic assessment described herein is preliminary in nature, in that it includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the preliminary economic assessment will be realized.

The Deposit was originally evaluated by Avalon in 1997-2000 as a potential producer of lithium minerals for glass-ceramics under a Pre-feasibility Study (“PFS”) (also prepared by Micon) in 2000. The purpose of this 2016 PEA was to investigate the potential for recovery of a lithium product suitable for the battery market from the same lithium resource, and the results confirm a technically viable process and positive economics for the recovery of a battery-grade lithium hydroxide product.

The PEA development model contemplates facilities located at two separate sites: an open pit mine and concentrator located on the Company’s mining lease approximately 75 km north of Kenora, Ontario and a hydrometallurgical plant located at an industrial site near the city of Kenora.

The model was based on the resource defined for the 2000 PFS and assumed an open pit to a final depth of 260 metres providing 950,000 tonnes of mineralized plant feed per year for 10 years at an average diluted grade of 1.2% Li₂O. The mineralized plant feed would be crushed and processed at a concentrator constructed at the mine site. At full production, this concentrator would produce an average of 144,400 tonnes per year of petalite concentrate and 100,000 tonnes per year of feldspar concentrate. The petalite would be transported by truck to the proposed hydrometallurgical plant presently contemplated for Kenora.

The hydrometallurgical plant site selected for the purposes of the PEA is in close proximity to sources of hydropower, natural gas and water needed for the processing of the petalite. The hydrometallurgical plant would have the capacity to produce an average of 14,600 tonnes per year of lithium hydroxide. The lithium hydroxide would be bagged at the hydrometallurgical plant and loaded on to rail cars for shipment to market.

Non lithium-bearing rock produced in the mining operation would be stored at site for potential recovery of other industrial minerals or use as aggregate in the surrounding region. Tailings from both the concentrator and the hydrometallurgical plant would be stored in a tailings management facility located at the mine site. Future engineering, procurement and construction of both the concentrator and the hydrometallurgical plant would proceed in parallel. In addition to the feldspar, several other by-products are potentially recoverable from the lithium ore that will be considered following further market studies and process testwork.

The discounted cash flow (“DCF”) analysis yielded a 19% internal rate of return (“IRR”) on a pre-tax basis and a 16% IRR on an after-tax basis, assuming 100% equity financing. The Project’s net present value (“NPV”) at an 8% discount rate is \$343 million pre-tax and \$228 million after-tax. Total Project construction capital costs are estimated at \$514 million, which is inclusive of \$86 million in contingencies and \$7 million in sustaining capital. The average lithium hydroxide price assumption used for this PEA was US\$11,000/tonne and the CAD:USD exchange rate assumption was US\$1.00 = CDN\$1.30. At the production rate modelled for this PEA, the currently delineated lithium resource would support lithium production for at least 10 years. There is sufficient high quality feldspar (an industrial mineral) in the resource to support production for at least 20 years.

The PEA development model covers all aspects of project development, including mining, mineral concentration, and hydrometallurgical processing as well as all related infrastructure. Micon developed its capital and operating cost estimates from first principle capital quotations, estimates from suppliers, manufacturers, contractors and experience based on comparable operations in Canada and abroad. The capital and operating cost estimates were completed to a level consistent with an AACEI Class 4 estimate, with an intended level of accuracy of ±30%, based on Q3 2016 prices, excluding escalation. Currency is Canadian dollars unless otherwise stated.

Mineral Resources

Mineral Resources are essentially the same as used for the 1999 pre-feasibility study, adapted to current resource reporting guidelines under NI 43-101 and are summarized in the tables below. Measured and Indicated Resources are estimated to total 8.0 million tonnes at a grade of 1.29% Li₂O using a 0.6% Li₂O cut-off grade. In addition, the Deposit includes an estimated Inferred Resource of 1.63 million tonnes at 1.42% Li₂O. These resources also contain 39% feldspar.

The Deposit is hosted within a large, highly-evolved pegmatite body of the rare petalite sub-type, similar to the “Tanco” pegmatite: a rare metals producer located 60 km to the west at Bernic Lake, Manitoba. The Separation Rapids pegmatite forms a vertically-dipping body varying in thickness up to 70 metres and traceable for approximately 1.5 km along strike. Unlike the Tanco pegmatite, it is highly deformed and was essentially flattened and stretched into its present sub-vertical orientation. The Deposit exhibits typical mineralogical zoning characteristics seen in other highly evolved rare metal pegmatites like Tanco, such as well-developed wall zones and a petalite-rich intermediate zone. Exploration potential exists to discover additional mineralogical sub-zones typical for such

pegmatites enriched in other rare metals, notably tantalum and cesium. The Deposit has been delineated by exploration drilling over 500 metres of strike length to a depth of 260 metres, and is open for expansion.

The primary lithium bearing minerals in the deposit are petalite and lepidolite and locally spodumene formed from petalite. The feldspars include both albite and potassium feldspar. The other major rock-forming minerals are quartz and muscovite. Accessory minerals include columbite-tantalite, cassiterite, apatite and topaz. Results from 69 historic diamond drill holes totalling 10,152 metres were used to create a 3-D model of the host pegmatite.

**Separation Rapids, Mineral Resource Estimate at 0.6% Li₂O Cut-off Grade
As at October 21, 2016**

Class	Tonnes (Mt)	Li ₂ O (%)	Total Feldspar (%)	Ta ₂ O ₅ (%)	Cs ₂ O (%)	Rb ₂ O (%)	SG
Measured	4.03	1.32	39	0.006	0.017	0.343	2.66
Indicated	3.97	1.26	39	0.007	0.025	0.362	2.67
Measured plus Indicated	8.00	1.29	39	0.006	0.021	0.352	2.66
Inferred	1.63	1.42	39	0.008	0.016	0.360	2.64

Notes:

1. CIM Definition Standards for Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, 10 May, 2014 were followed for this mineral resource estimate.
2. The Qualified Person for this mineral resource is David Trueman, Ph.D., P.Geo. (MB).
3. The resource estimate is constrained by a 3D geologic model of the mineralized material.
4. Assay intervals for Li₂O, Ta₂O₅, Cs₂O and Rb₂O were interpolated using the Inverse Distance Weighted method to create a 3D block model.
5. The resource cut-off grade of 0.6% Li₂O was chosen to capture mineralization that is potentially amenable to mining, mineral concentration and off-site processing.
6. Li, Ta, Cs and Rb were originally analysed on all samples at XRAL Laboratory (Thunder Bay, Ontario) utilizing ICP (Li, Ta) and AA (Rb and Cs) and check analyses completed at CHEMEX Laboratory (Don Mills, Ontario) utilizing AA (Li) and ICP (Rb).
7. As well as due diligence to verify historic data, Avalon completed additional check analyses of historic drill core in 2016 utilizing ALS Laboratory (Vancouver) with a combination of fusion and ICP (method CCP-PKG01). Included as QAQC procedures was a lithium rock standard within the check analysis batches.
8. Total Feldspar is the total of potassium feldspar (microcline) and sodium feldspar (albite) and the value reflects the mean and median value of all samples with quantitative mineralogy determined.
9. The percentage Total Feldspar is based on analyses completed utilizing X-Ray Diffraction and Qemscan instrumentation on samples representing all lithological subunits of the mineral deposit. These analyses were completed at Carleton University in 1999 (XRD) and ALS Global Laboratory in 2016 (XRD and Qemscan, Kamloops). This is supported by quantitative mineralogy of metallurgical samples determined at SGS (Lakefield) and Anzaplan (Germany)
10. All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimates. Summation of individual columns may not add-up due to rounding.
11. Mineral Resources are not Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that all or any part of the Mineral Resource will be converted into Mineral Reserves.
12. In addition, while the terms "measured", "indicated" and "inferred" mineral resources are required pursuant to National Instrument 43-101, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission does not recognize such terms. Canadian standards differ significantly from the requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, and mineral resource information contained herein is not comparable to similar information regarding mineral reserves disclosed in accordance with the requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. U.S. investors should understand that "inferred" mineral resources have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. In addition, U.S. investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of Avalon's mineral resources constitute or will be converted into reserves.

The model includes lithium resources with an average grade of below 1% Li₂O. The lower grade lithium mineralization consists of a swarm of narrow lithium-bearing pegmatite dykes intruded into

meta-volcanic rocks, where tests indicate the resource can be pre-concentrated using optical sorting technology.

The resource block model has had an open pit mine design applied to it using Whittle Pit optimization resulting in 9.34 million tonnes of mineralized material at an average grade of 1.22% Li₂O within the pit. The pit has a strip ratio of 1:5.6, resulting in 52 million tonnes of waste rock for stockpiling for use as aggregate. For the purpose of the PEA, the mine depth was limited to 260 metres.

The mine design has not been optimized and the appropriate timing to transition the operation to underground mining has yet to be determined. Further drilling is expected to identify additional resources at depth which would create the opportunity to ultimately re-work the development model to include an underground mining operation to access the depth extensions of the Deposit and reduce the amount of rock generated for stockpiling.

Optimization Opportunities and Next Steps

With the completion of a positive PEA on lithium hydroxide production, next steps are oriented primarily toward gathering all the technical information needed to support the completion of a feasibility study in 2017 and secure customer acceptance of the products, followed by operation of a demonstration scale production facility. Commercial operations could begin by 2020. The key factors going forward influencing the timely execution of the Project are: securing sufficient product offtake commitments to support Project financing; the availability of sufficient equity and/or debt financing and receipt of all requisite operating permits and approvals.

Avalon's first priority will be to carry out additional drilling with the objective of increasing the resources, while continuing to optimize metallurgical processes to confirm design parameters and product properties. While the economics contained in the PEA are positive, ongoing metallurgical process development work, engineering and market research have identified opportunities to improve the overall Project economics or reduce Project risk. These include:

- Recovery of lithium from other lithium-bearing minerals in the resource;
- Defining a low-cost, clean energy solution for the operations;
- Improvements in lithium recovery rates in the flotation process and in the hydrometallurgical plant while maintaining high product quality;
- Expansion of feldspar markets through product research and market development work;
- The recovery of high purity silica and tantalum by-products; and
- Integrating the production of petalite concentrate for glass-ceramics customers into the development model.

The development model presently contemplates connection to the hydro-electric grid near the Whitedog power generation station at a cost of \$11 million, including construction of a 25 km power transmission line and substation. The Company has begun to investigate the potential to meet the power needs for the mine and concentrator (estimated at 5 MW) using local low-cost, run-of-river power generation supplemented by renewable energy delivered by an independent energy company. An initial reconnaissance study has identified a promising site close to the Deposit capable of meeting most of the operation's energy requirements at a lower total cost.

Lithium and Feldspar Markets

Lithium Compounds for Batteries

The demand for lithium chemicals, such as lithium carbonate and lithium hydroxide, has been growing rapidly over recent years, driven predominantly by lithium ion rechargeable battery technology now in high demand for the electric vehicle marketplace and other energy storage applications. Current projections indicate continued growth in lithium demand from the battery sector for the foreseeable future. Because lithium is marketed in different forms, (including lithium

minerals used in glass and ceramics) aggregate lithium demand and supply is usually expressed in terms of lithium carbonate equivalent (“LCE”).

Market studies completed by the Company in 2015 indicated that at least three different lithium chemicals are used in lithium ion batteries, depending on the specific cathode chemistry the technology employs: lithium carbonate, lithium hydroxide, and lithium metal. There are at least four battery cathode chemistries presently competing for market share: Lithium cobalt oxide, lithium-nickel-aluminum-cobalt oxide (“NAC”), lithium-nickel-manganese-cobalt oxide and lithium iron phosphate. The lithium ion battery now preferred by many electric vehicle manufacturers uses the NAC chemistry, for which lithium hydroxide is becoming the preferred lithium chemical feedstock. Demand for lithium hydroxide is projected by Stormcrow Capital Ltd (August, 2015) to grow at a faster rate than lithium carbonate demand and to more than double from 82,000 tonnes LCE in 2016 to 186,000 tonnes LCE in 2025.

For the purpose of the PEA Avalon selected lithium hydroxide as its target lithium product and conducted process testwork to create a flowsheet to produce it cost effectively from its lithium mineral (petalite) concentrate which contains few impurities requiring removal from the final product. Lithium hydroxide can also be produced directly from the mineral concentrate without first making an intermediate product such as lithium carbonate. New hydrometallurgical technologies offer an environmentally efficient and relatively low cost extractive alternative to make lithium hydroxide from the mineral concentrate and achieve the high purity requirements now demanded by battery makers.

It is clear that new lithium supply sources will be needed to meet the growing demand for batteries for electric vehicles. The Separation Rapids Lithium Project will be well-situated to serve new battery production facilities contemplated in North America. Just one well-known example, the lithium battery Gigafactory of Tesla Motors Inc. in Nevada, is expected to consume up to 25,000 tonnes per year of lithium hydroxide after it has reached full production.

Prices for both lithium hydroxide and lithium carbonate have increased significantly in recent years, with the growing demand from the battery sector exceeding supply growth. This is creating upward pressure on prices, a trend that analysts are predicting will likely continue until the market comes back into balance. Lithium hydroxide typically sells at a US\$2-3/kg premium to lithium carbonate reflecting higher average production costs.

Avalon has reviewed all publicly available lithium price forecasts. While they all forecast increasing prices, there is considerable variability in absolute price levels predicted for battery grade lithium chemicals in the future. Lithium hydroxide prices negotiated in 2019-2020 (when Avalon may be entering the market) are forecast to range from current price levels of around US\$11,000/tonne to as high as US\$25,000/tonne (Global Lithium LLC) with the average being around US\$16,000-\$17,000/tonne (Benchmark and Global Lithium LLC).

For the purposes of this PEA, Avalon has used a price assumption of US\$11,000 per tonne FOB plant for lithium hydroxide. This is consistent with a recent price forecast for the period 2019-2020 prepared by Roskill Information Services.

Feldspar

Feldspar is an industrial mineral used commonly in the manufacture of glass and ceramics, also used as a filler and extender in the production of paints, plastics and rubber. The glass market for feldspar in the United States represents the largest market at around 68%, while ceramics account for 23% and filler and other applications represent less than 10%. Market access depends upon product quality and freight costs to individual markets.

Global Industry Analysts Inc. (“GIAI”) projects that between 2015 and 2022, feldspar demand in the United States will grow at a compound annual growth rate of 3.8% to reach approximately 800,000 tonnes per year. Testwork carried out by Dorfner Anzaplän GmbH, Germany, a specialist in

industrial minerals process development, indicates that feldspar from the Separation Rapids Deposit has a very low iron content and comparable quality to the feldspars marketed by other North American producers.

Through discussions with market participants and industry experts, and evaluation of data provided in purchased reports and publicly available information, Avalon estimates that 100,000 tonnes per year of feldspar can be sold into the glass, ceramics, frits/glazes and filler markets in the United States and potentially other markets in Europe and Mexico. However, Avalon has sufficient feed material to produce much greater quantities of feldspar should there be sufficient market demand.

Pricing for feldspar in the USA currently ranges from US\$175/tonne to US\$250/tonne FOB plant. Avalon has based the feldspar revenue calculations for this PEA on a conservative price assumption of US\$170/tonne FOB Separation Rapids plant.

Environmental Assessment and Community Engagement Update

Avalon is committed to developing the Project based on modern Corporate Social Responsibility (“CSR”) principles and reporting on its performance in its annual Sustainability Reports. These CSR principles include commitments to minimize environmental impacts, ensuring the health and safety of employees, maximizing benefits for local communities and providing full transparency in its social and environmental performance. The Company and the Project are well known in the local community.

A detailed environmental baseline study was updated in 2007 and work has been ongoing to further update this study to align it with recent regulatory changes. Following some additional baseline work to validate the 2007 study, a detailed project description and Environmental Impact Assessment will be produced in consultation with regulators, Indigenous Peoples and other communities of interest. Initial studies suggest that aggregate stockpiles, tailing and concentrate storage areas will not contribute effluents of environmental concern. Dry stacking of tailing and concentrates will minimize long term storage risk, water use and effluent quantity.

The Project is located in the traditional land use area of the Wabaseemoong Independent Nations (“WIN”) for which they have stewardship under an agreement with the Province. The Company first signed an MOU with WIN in 1999 which was renewed when the Project was re-activated in 2013. Avalon management has been keeping WIN leadership informed on Project activities and remains committed to fulfilling its community consultation obligations and partnering with WIN on Project business opportunities. The Company has also initiated dialogue with the Métis Nation of Ontario who holds Aboriginal rights in the area.

Unless otherwise noted, the technical information on the Separation Rapids Lithium Project has been reviewed and approved by the Company’s Senior Vice President, Metallurgy and Technology Development, Mr. David Marsh, FAusIMM (CP), who is a Qualified Person under NI 43-101.

Nechalacho Rare Earth Elements Project

The Nechalacho Project is located at Thor Lake in the Mackenzie Mining District of the Northwest Territories (“NWT”), about 5 kilometres north of the Hearne Channel of Great Slave Lake and approximately 100 kilometres southeast of the city of Yellowknife. The property is comprised of five contiguous mining leases totalling 10,449 acres (4,249 hectares) and three claims totalling 4,597 acres (1,869 hectares). The leases are subject to one underlying 2.5% Net Smelter Returns (“NSR”) royalty agreement. Avalon has the contractual right to buy out this royalty on the basis of a fixed formula, which is currently approximately \$1.4 million and which will increase at a rate equal to the Canadian prime rate until the royalty is bought out.

The property is situated in an area referred to as the Akaitcho Territory, an area which is subject to comprehensive native land claim negotiations between the Government of Canada and the Treaty 8 Tribal Corporation, which consists of the Yellowknives Dene First Nation (“YKDFN”), the Deninu

K'ue First Nation ("DKFN") and the Lutsel K'e Dene First Nation ("LKDFN"). The Company has signed an Accommodation Agreement with the DKFN. The Company also recognizes that the Tłı̄cho First Nation ("TFN") has a settled land claim with the Government of Canada which provides for certain harvesting rights in the area of the Nechalacho site. The general area around the Nechalacho site is subject to Aboriginal rights asserted by two Métis organizations: the Northwest Territory Métis Nation ("NWTMN") and the North Slave Métis Alliance ("NSMA"). During 2014, Avalon concluded a Participation Agreement with the NWTMN and commenced discussions with the NSMA.

Since the completion of the Feasibility Study ("Nechalacho FS") in April, 2013, the Company has been engaged in metallurgical test work with the objective of optimizing the process flowsheets to improve recoveries and reduce costs. This involved introducing efficiencies to the Concentrator flowsheet, and designing a new flowsheet for the Hydrometallurgical Plant involving an alkali cracking process for treatment of the rare earth mineral concentrate as an alternative to the sulphuric acid bake process contemplated in the Nechalacho FS. The alkali cracking process enables recovery of 90% of the heavy rare earth elements ("HREE") in the flotation concentrate, compared to 52% recovery contemplated in the Nechalacho FS using the sulphuric acid bake process. In addition, the alkali cracking process allows for the recovery of zirconium in a form for which there are established markets.

Optimization of the alkali cracking process flowsheet is substantially complete except for finalizing certain details around reagent recovery and recycling. Work here has indicated an 80% reduction in hydrochloric acid, 90% reduction in magnesium oxide and almost 100% reduction in calcium carbonate consumption compared to the Nechalacho FS could be achievable along with associated sustainability benefits. The new potential flowsheet also successfully suppresses the precipitation of cerium and separates the lanthanum, both of which dilute the value of the mixed HREE product.

The only metallurgical testwork investigations conducted over the 12 months ended August 31, 2016, relates to the recovery of zirconium and production of marketable quality zirconium basic sulphate ("ZBS") and zirconium oxychloride ("ZOC") products. Reworking of the process design criteria, plant designs and cost estimates for both the Concentrator and Hydrometallurgical Plant, along with any revisions to the mine plan, are continuing to be developed internally.

A further integrated pilot plant campaign has been planned but will only proceed when funding becomes available. This is designed to fully evaluate process performance particularly with the incorporation of the acid/reagents recovery circuits and associated recycle streams and would include all unit operations from crushing of ore right through to the generation of a mixed rare earth precipitate. The total bulk sample of ore required for this pilot plant is approximately eight tonnes. This material is being stored in Yellowknife until such time as the funding becomes available to proceed with the pilot plant work, presently estimated at approximately \$4.0 million. There is no firm timeline for when this work will be carried out. In the meantime, the Company continues to monitor various academic research initiatives into new and more efficient rare earth extractive technology through its continuing participation in Canadian Rare Earth Elements Network.

While permits for pre-construction work are already in place, the process to obtain a Class A Water License and Land Use Permit authorizing mine construction, operation and closure activities is presently on hold but it can be accelerated again at any time with the expectation that it would then be completed in approximately 4-6 months. The Company may consider doing this in 2017 if funding is available and circumstances are favourable. Reporting continues as required under the existing permits with the Mackenzie Valley Land and Water Board. The application to extend the existing exploration permit was approved during the quarter to maintain existing site facilities in preparation for future activities. Avalon continues to monitor and participate in regulatory and other environmental developments in the NWT.

The key factors going forward influencing the Nechalacho Project schedule, all of which are somewhat dependent on one another, are: securing one or more strategic or financial partners; securing sufficient binding agreements for offtake to support project financing, the availability of

equity and debt financing at a reasonable cost and the receipt of all requisite construction and operating permits.

Expenditures during the Year totalled \$298,081 (2015 - \$1,615,243). Of this, approximately 46% was on metallurgical testwork relating to the recovery of zirconium and production of the ZBS and ZOC and on the disposal of certain metallurgical tailing materials, and mining lease payments, 38% on geological research and sample storage, with the balance funding permitting, community consultation work and annual lease payments.

Expenditures during the Quarter totalled \$21,652 (2015 - \$229,547), which were primarily related to sample storage and camp site maintenance costs. Subsequent to the end of the Quarter, a brief site visit was conducted to make any needed repairs to the camp and do some sampling on known lithium occurrences on the property in the vicinity of the North T Beryllium Deposit. The Nechalacho property hosts a variety of rare metals resources any of which could become of interest for development when new demand is created. The Company continuously monitors these markets.

Unless otherwise noted, the technical information on the Nechalacho Project has been reviewed and approved either by the Company's Senior Vice President Metallurgy and Technology Development, Mr. David Marsh, FAusIMM (CP), or Dr. William Mercer, PhD, P.Geo. (Ontario), P. Geo. (NWT), Vice President, Exploration, who are both Qualified Person under NI 43-101.

East Kemptville Tin-Indium Project

The Company incurred \$1,862,905 (2015 - \$1,291,004) in expenditures during the Year on the East Kemptville Project in Yarmouth County, Nova Scotia. Approximately 67% of these expenditures were incurred on drilling and geological work in support of the drilling program that was completed in the fall of 2015, 16% were incurred on metallurgical test work, 9% were incurred on preliminary economic study, and 7% of the expenditures were spent on permitting and environmental studies.

The Company incurred \$118,003 (2015 - \$611,072) in expenditures during the Quarter on the East Kemptville Project. Approximately 50% of these expenditures were incurred on metallurgical testwork, 42% of the expenditures were spent on geological mapping, sample collection from existing stockpile and related analysis, with the balance incurred primarily on environmental studies including a water depth survey of the existing tailings ponds.

The Company holds mineral rights at East Kemptville through a "Special Licence", a form of mineral tenure granted by the Province of Nova Scotia in circumstances where there is a history of previous industrial land use activity (such as mining) in the area of interest. It does not immediately convey surface land rights and, accordingly, access must be arranged with the permission of surface rights holders (which was done in 2014 and renewed for 2015). Ultimately, with completion of a feasibility study and related environmental assessment work, a form of mining lease is obtainable from the government to secure the requisite surface land rights. Negotiations with the surface rights holders toward securing full tenure to the East Kemptville site are advancing steadily, (including a detailed due diligence review on environmental liabilities), and are targeted to be concluded by the end of 2016.

The Company first acquired a Special Licence at East Kemptville in 2005 and it has been subsequently renewed multiple times while the Company negotiated access to the site. During the quarter ended May 31, 2015, by Order in Council, the Government of Nova Scotia approved an application for a new Special Licence reflecting the entire original mine site. The new Special Licence, designated Special Licence No. 50462, has a term of three years beginning February 2, 2015 and includes an obligation to incur \$5.25 million in expenditures over the three years including \$2,250,000 by January 31, 2017 (of which \$2,833,792 had been incurred by August 31, 2016). It is renewable for an additional two one-year periods. The total area covered by the new Special Licence is 2,880 acres.

The 2015 drilling program was completed in November 2015 and had the objective of upgrading inferred mineral resources in the Main and Baby Zones into the indicated and measured categories as well as testing other known tin occurrences in the area. In addition, the drilling program provided further samples for metallurgical testing and assisted in developing geotechnical knowledge of the deposit. Twenty-two drill holes totalling 4,514 metres were completed on the Main, Baby and Duck Pond Zones with assay results from the Baby Zone holes released on November 3, 2015. Results were in line with expectations and confirm continuity of the mineralized zone to depth. Highlights include intersections of 0.46% tin (Sn), 25.2 ppm indium (In) and 0.63% zinc (Zn) over 82.3 metres (EKAV-15-10), 0.23% Sn, 15.6 ppm In and 0.33% Zn over 36.25 metres (EKAV-15-09) and 0.25% Sn, 29.4 ppm In and 0.64% Zn over 18.67 metres (EKAV-15-11). The Nova Scotia government has inspected and approved Avalon's rehabilitation of drill sites from the 2015 program and an environmental bond related to this has been returned to the Company.

During the Quarter, a series of grab samples were collected from the surface ore stockpiles and the results provided a preliminary confirmation of the reported historical estimate of the average grade of the stockpiles. In addition, bulk samples were collected from the stockpile for metallurgical testwork. A drilling program is tentatively planned in 2017 to more systematically sample the stockpiles and map the internal grade distribution in more detail. This information will be included in a future resource update.

Bench scale metallurgical testing, using sample material collected during the 2014 drill program, was carried out at a commercial laboratory located in Cornwall, England with expertise in tin metallurgy, and was completed late in December, 2015. This work program investigated all aspects of the flowsheet including milling, copper and zinc sulphide flotation as well as tin recovery by both gravity and flotation processes. The recovery of indium to the zinc concentrate was also monitored. This test program will eventually lead to larger scale pilot plant testing using representative bulk samples collected from future drilling and existing ore stockpiles at the site. The results from this test program confirmed the ability to produce a tin concentrate with >50% tin, a zinc concentrate of >50% zinc (also containing 0.175% Indium) and a copper concentrate at >20% copper with scope for further grade improvements.

Current work is focused on evaluating the economics of a small scale development scenario involving the processing of almost 6 million tonnes of surface ore stockpiles at the rate of 100 tonnes per hour ("tph") for the recovery of a tin concentrate through a small, modular designed gravity process plant. Further testwork on a simple gravity only circuit has been completed using stockpiled ore and has demonstrated that a tin recovery of +/-60% is achievable by such a flowsheet. The concentrate produced was 44.6% tin but could be increased to >50% by flotation to remove contained sulphides. This scenario offers the potential for near term production at a relatively low capital expenditure with low environmental impact by taking advantage of existing tailings management facilities. Processing of the stockpiles would also contribute to the long term environmental remediation of the site. Operating life could be extended by the subsequent processing of fresh ore from the Baby Zone pit as well as from other lower grade surface stockpiles and potentially re-treating tin bearing tailings.

Avalon has begun commercial discussions with several parties interested in new sources of supply of tin concentrate or interested in tin development opportunities. Samples of the stockpiled ore have been sent to one interested party and others are waiting for tin concentrate samples.

Environmental studies are examining the nature of the waste material generated from renewed operations, as well as the conditions required for bringing the existing operation into readiness for future production. These studies included work on future closure strategies and baseline studies such as species at risk surveys and studies on effluent chemistry management. Opportunities have now been identified to significantly reduce the existing site environmental and associated financial liabilities through innovative management of future waste rock and tailings and through the processing of mineralized material. These are anticipated to significantly reduce or eliminate the need for ongoing site care and maintenance. The detailed due diligence review of the historic

environmental liability, led by Mark Wiseman, Vice-President, Sustainability, related to the acquisition of the surface rights was largely completed during the Quarter.

Unless otherwise noted, the technical information on the East Kemptville Tin-Indium Project has been reviewed and approved either by the Company's Senior Vice President Metallurgy and Technology Development, Mr. David Marsh, FAusIMM (CP), or Dr. William Mercer, PhD, P.Geo. (Ontario), P. Geo. (NS), Vice President, Exploration, who are both Qualified Persons under NI 43-101.

New Brunswick Tin Exploration Project

Miramichi Tin Property

During the Year the Company incurred \$15,695 in expenditures on the Miramichi Tin property, primarily related to grass-roots level prospecting and sampling completed in the fall of 2015.

As at August 31, 2016, the Company decided not to renew the Miramichi Tin mineral claims of which were due for renewal in September 2016, accordingly the cost incurred to-date of \$218,620 was written off as an impairment loss in Fiscal 2016.

Mount Douglas Tin-Tungsten Property

During the year ended August 31, 2016, the Company entered into an option agreement to earn a 100% interest (subject to a 2.0% NSR, which can be bought back for \$1.0 million) in certain mineral claims located in Charlotte County, New Brunswick. To keep the option in good standing, the Company is required to incur exploration expenditures of \$75,000 by October 28, 2016 (of which \$70,178 had been incurred as at August 31, 2016 and the balance of \$4,822 has been incurred subsequent to the Quarter) and make cash payments totalling \$120,000 over five years with the first payment of \$10,000 being due on October 28, 2016, which has been paid subsequent to the Quarter.

During the Year the Company incurred \$46,663 in expenditures (net of \$25,317 funded by the New Brunswick Junior Mining Assistance Program) on the Mount Douglas property. The expenditures were primarily incurred on geological sampling, mapping, geophysical survey, and preparatory work for the small drilling program tentatively planned for late fall.

The results of reconnaissance grab samples collected during the fall of 2015 field program were encouraging, showing an average of 0.25% tin in the Pocologan Zone (22 samples) and 0.18% tin (8 samples) and 0.24% tungsten (10 samples) in the Lake Anthony Brook Zone. These occurrences have never been previously drilled.

During the Quarter the Company incurred \$5,752 in expenditures (net of \$5,317 funded by the New Brunswick Junior Mining Assistance Program) on the Mount Douglas property, primarily related to a site visit and preparatory work for the small drilling program tentatively planned for late fall.

Unless otherwise noted, the technical information on the Mount Douglas property has been reviewed and approved by Dr. William Mercer, PhD, P.Geo. (Ontario), P. Geo. (NS), Vice President, Exploration, who is a Qualified Person under NI 43-101.

Other Projects

The Company did not complete any work on any of its other projects during the Year except for some grass-roots level prospecting on its Mascarene cobalt-copper-nickel prospect in southern New Brunswick. Expenditures during the year at Mascarene totaled \$13,101.

Corporate Social Responsibility (“CSR”)

Contemporaneously with the filing of this MDA, the Company released its fifth comprehensive Sustainability Report. The 2016 Sustainability Report is available for download on the Company’s website at: <http://www.avalonAM.com>

The 2016 Sustainability Report was prepared in accordance with the Global Reporting Initiative (“GRI”) Version 4 guidelines for core reporting.

The 2016 Report incorporates a self-assessment of Fiscal 2016 performance and sets targets for 2017 against the applicable Mining Association of Canada’s ‘Toward Sustainable Mining’ indicators.

In addition to the Company’s safety performance, the report highlights many other positive accomplishments such as the risk management program, management system development and metallurgical improvements that contribute to improved environmental performance to name a few. Avalon is committed to working closely with its Aboriginal partners to create lasting economic and social benefits in the communities. In addition to its partners in the NWT, dialogue has been initiated with the Acadia First Nation in Nova Scotia as it relates to the East Kemptville Project and with Wabaseemoong Independent Nations (“WIN”) and Métis Nation of Ontario with respect to the Separation Rapids Lithium Project. The Company renewed its MOU with WIN in 2013.

To provide independent advice as to the efficacy of the Company’s CSR work, the Company maintains an independent Sustainability Advisory Committee (“SAC”) that meets intermittently to review all of the Company’s sustainability-oriented work at all its projects. A meeting was held during Q4 fiscal 2016.

In recognition of its sustainability efforts, Avalon has been recognized for the second straight year by *Corporate Knights*’ 2016 Future 40 Responsible Corporate Leaders in Canada, from a shortlist of 107 eligible small and mid-cap organizations.

Administration and Other

Corporate and Administrative expenses totalled \$3,221,418 during Fiscal 2016, an 18% decrease from the amount incurred in Fiscal 2015 (\$3,949,320). The main areas of decreased operating expenses for the Year were salaries, benefits and directors’ fees, filing and transfer fees, audit assurance and related services, financing advisory services and expenses, occupancy costs and marketing and sales expenses.

Salaries, benefits and directors’ fees for the Year decreased by approximately 20% to \$1,693,399 compared to \$2,107,173 in Fiscal 2015. The decrease in salaries, benefits and directors’ fees was primarily related to the reduced staffing levels and the further reduction in directors’ fees starting in January 2016.

Filing and transfer fees decreased by 32% to \$116,484 during the Year compared to \$170,600 for Fiscal 2015. The decrease is primarily related to the decrease in participation fees paid to the Ontario Securities Commission and the decrease in annual listing fees paid due to the Company’s move to the OTCQX Best Market from the NYSE MKT. The participation fee paid during the Year was based on the Company’s average market capitalization in fiscal 2015, whereas the participation fee paid in fiscal 2015 was based on the Company’s average market capitalization in fiscal 2011.

Fees for audit assurance and related compliance services for the Year decreased by approximately 33% to \$115,391 compared to \$171,755 in Fiscal 2015. The decrease is primarily related to the elimination of quarterly financial statement review services and related compliance services in Q2 to Q4 of fiscal 2016 to conserve cash resources as these services are no longer required following the expiry of the 2013 shelf prospectus in October 2015 and after the Company became a “non-

accelerated filer” in the US under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010.

No financial advisory fees and expenses were incurred during the Year compared to \$37,853 for Fiscal 2015. This decrease is related to a decreased amount of work with respect to financing initiatives related to the Project provided by third party consultants.

Occupancy costs decreased by 11% to \$303,096 during the Year compared \$340,322 in Fiscal 2015. The decrease is primarily related to the closing of the Company’s office in Delta, BC in May of 2015.

Marketing and sales related expenses decreased by \$61,730 (44%) during the Year compared to Fiscal 2015, which primarily related to the reduction in travel and to the decrease in fees paid to consultants in assisting the Company in sales and market development and government relations work. This was achieved by performing a higher portion of the work in-house.

Expenses on public and investor relations for the Year increased by \$91,834 (20%) to \$556,977 compared to \$465,144 in Fiscal 2015. The increase was primarily related to the increased number of investor conferences and roadshows undertaken during the Year to increase investor awareness about the Company’s shift in focus back to its lithium business and the Company’s name change which was approved by shareholders in February 2016.

Share based compensation decreased to \$345,435 for the Year compared to \$788,880 for Fiscal 2015. This decrease is primarily related to the decrease in the estimated fair values and the number of options earned during the Year compared to Fiscal 2015.

Lower cash balances resulted in interest income decreasing to \$35,160 for the Year compared to \$66,014 for Fiscal 2015.

On August 31, 2016, the fair value of the Company’s outstanding warrants denominated in US\$ was re-measured using the Black-Scholes pricing model, which resulted in a loss of \$122,561 for the Year (being the increase in the estimated value of these warrants between August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2016) and a gain of \$199,811 for the Quarter (being the decrease in the estimated value of these warrants between May 31, 2016 and August 31, 2016). The changes in the estimated value of these warrants at the various valuation dates are mainly caused by the fluctuation in the trading price of the Company’s common shares as at August 31, 2016 compared to August 31, 2015 and May 31, 2016.

Corporate and Administrative expenses totalled \$681,616 during the Quarter, a 21% decrease from the amount incurred during the comparative quarter in fiscal 2015 (\$859,509). This decrease reflects the Company’s continuing effort to reduce its overhead costs. The main areas of decreased operating expenses for the Quarter were salaries, benefits and directors’ fees, filing and transfer fees, and marketing and sales expenses, which were partly offset by the increase in expenses on public and investor relations expenses.

Salaries, benefits and directors’ fees decreased by \$209,353 (38%) to \$343,178 compared to \$552,530 for the same quarter in Fiscal 2015. This decrease is primarily related reduced staffing levels and to the decrease in the provision for accrued vacation pays.

Consistent with the Year and for similar reasons, filing and transfer fees for the Quarter decreased by \$12,143 (45%) compared to the same quarter in Fiscal 2015.

Consistent with the Year and for similar reasons, marketing and sales related expenses for the Quarter decreased by \$13,231 (53%) compared to the same quarter in Fiscal 2015.

Expenses on public and investor relations increased by \$79,576 (124%) compared to the same quarter in Fiscal 2015. The increase is primarily related to the increased amount of work provided

by consultants with respect to investor relations activities and increased travel to build greater investor awareness about the Company's shift in focus back to its lithium business. Investor relations activities during and subsequent to the end of the Quarter included participation in the Mines & Money North America conference in Toronto, and the Toronto Capital Conference, both in late September, participation in the Benchmark Minerals Intelligence lithium battery conferences in New York and Toronto and the See-Thru Equity conference in New York in October and participation in investment conferences in Frankfurt and Munich in early November.

Share based compensation expense totalled \$72,830 for the Quarter compared to \$155,788 the same quarter in Fiscal 2015. This decrease is primarily related to the decrease in the estimated fair values and the number of options earned during the Quarter compared to the same quarter in Fiscal 2015

Interest income totalled \$5,882 for the Quarter compared to \$21,911 for the comparative quarter in Fiscal 2015.

Summary of Quarterly Results

The following selected financial data is derived from the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements of the Company.

Fiscal Year For the Quarters Ended	2016				2015			
	Aug. 31	May 31	Feb. 29	Nov. 30	Aug. 31	May 31	Feb. 28	Nov. 30
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Revenue (Interest)	5,882	7,700	7,683	13,895	21,911	13,536	15,152	15,415
Net Loss before discontinued operations	704,446	1,234,913	954,982	645,304	226,209	748,724	1,582,569	618,872
Net Loss	704,446	1,234,913	954,982	645,304	226,209	748,724	1,582,569	618,872
Net Loss, per share, basic and diluted	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00

The fluctuation on quarterly net loss is primarily due to share-based compensation expenses recognized as stock options granted to directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Company are earned, the impairment losses recognized on resource properties and changes in the fair value of warrants denominated in foreign currency. The costs of resource properties are written down at the time the properties are abandoned or considered to be impaired in value.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In management's view, given the nature of the Company's operations, which consist of the exploration and development of mining properties, the most relevant financial information relates primarily to current liquidity, solvency, and planned property expenditures. The Company's financial success will be dependent on the economic viability of its resource properties and the extent to which it can discover and develop new mineral deposits. Such development may take several years to complete and the amount of resulting income, if any, is difficult to determine. The sales value of any mineralization discovered by the Company is largely dependent on factors beyond the Company's control, including the market value of the metals and minerals to be produced.

As at August 31, 2016, the Company had adjusted working capital of \$1,160,471 (calculated by adding back the deferred flow-through share premium of \$96,617 and the liability for warrants denominated in foreign currency of \$411,418 to the net current assets of \$652,436) and cash and cash equivalents on hand of \$1,360,487. As the de-recognition of the balances of the deferred flow-through share premium and the liability for warrants denominated in foreign currency accounts will not require the future out flow of resources by the Company, it is management's belief that the adjusted working capital figure provides useful information in assessing the Company's liquidity. Substantially all of the Company's cash and cash equivalents are held at a major Canadian

chartered bank in cashable guaranteed investment certificates bearing an annual interest rate of 1.0%. As at August 31, 2015, the Company had adjusted working capital of \$5,263,216 and cash and cash equivalents on hand of \$5,247,738.

The Company's current operating expenditures, excluding expenditures on resource property work programs, are approximately \$350,000 per month. The Company's current anticipated resource property expenditures planned to be incurred during the year ending August 31, 2017 are budgeted at approximately \$2,900,000 (excluding capitalized salaries and benefits), with approximately \$1,500,000 of these expenditures being allocated to the Separation Rapids Lithium Project.

The Company believes its present cash resources are sufficient to meet all of its current contractual obligations, administrative and overhead expenditures, and planned exploration programs until the end of January, 2017. However, there can be no assurances that the Company will be able to raise additional funds required for all planned expenditures. As a result, certain expenditures may have to be delayed until sufficient funding has been raised. Given the continuation of weak investor sentiment and capital market conditions in the junior resource sector, there exists an uncertainty as to the Company's ability to raise additional funds on favourable terms or at all. This condition indicates the existence of a material uncertainty that raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company's expenditures on other discretionary exploration and development activities have some scope for flexibility in terms of amount and timing, which can be adjusted accordingly.

The Company continues to work on attracting more substantial project financing through the participation of one or more strategic partners, a long term construction debt financing facility, and/or through the equity markets. If the Company is not able to secure financing on satisfactory terms, expenditures on the development of its projects will need to be delayed.

All of the Company's resource properties, with the exception the Mount Douglas Tin-Tungsten property and its cobalt prospect in New Brunswick, are owned, leased or licenced with minimal holding costs. The most significant holding costs being annual lease rental fees on Nechalacho of \$20,998 and the annual expenditures related to the mining leases at Separation Rapids and Warren Township totalling \$3,327. The Company is required to incur certain exploration expenditures on the East Kemptville Project in order to keep the new Special Licence in good standing and to maintain its option on the Mount Douglas property (as described earlier under "Exploration and Development Activities") and its cobalt prospect in New Brunswick. To keep its option on the cobalt prospect in good standing, the Company is required to incur exploration expenditures of \$40,000 by May 31, 2017 (of which \$1,316 had been incurred as at August 31, 2016) and make cash payments totalling \$150,000 over five years (of which \$10,000 had been paid by August 31, 2016, with the next payment of \$25,000 being due by August 22, 2017). As at August 31, 2016, the Company is also required to incur additional Canadian Exploration Expenditures ("CEE") of \$495,195 by December 31, 2017. This amount is the remaining balance of the required expenditures resulting from the private placement completed in March, 2016.

Subsequent to the Year the Company completed a private placement in which it issued 4,545,454 flow-through common shares at a price of \$0.22 per share for gross proceeds of \$1,000,000. The Company is required to incur the \$1,000,000 in CEE relating to this private placement by December 31, 2017. In connection with the private placement, the Company paid finder's fees of \$60,000 and issued 272,727 non-transferrable finder's compensation warrants, with each finder's warrant. Each compensation warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share until November 7, 2018

During Fiscal 2016, the Company completed three financing transactions:

- i) On December 24, 2015, the Company completed a private placement (the "December 2015 Private Placement") and issued 6,000,000 flow-through units ("Flow-Through Unit") at \$0.125 per unit (the "Unit Price") for gross proceeds of \$750,000. Each Flow-Through Unit consists of one flow-through common share and one-half of one non-transferrable common share

purchase warrant. Each whole warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company at a price of \$0.175 per share, until December 24, 2017. In connection with the December 2015 Private Placement, the Company paid cash commission of \$45,000, incurred other issuance costs of \$23,541 and issued 360,000 non-transferrable compensation warrants. Each compensation warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.125 per share until December 24, 2017.

- ii) On March 11, 2016, the Company completed a private placement (the "Private Placement") and issued 13,700,000 units ("PP Unit") at a price of \$0.10 per PP Unit for gross proceeds of \$1,370,000, of which 1,000,000 PP Units were issued to Mr. Donald Bubar. Each PP Unit consists of one common share and one-half of one non-transferrable common share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company at a price of \$0.15 per share, until March 11, 2018, or if at any time following September 11, 2016, the closing price of the common shares on the TSX is \$0.25 or higher for a period of twenty consecutive trading days, the Company may, by notice to the holder reduce the expiry date of the warrants to not less than 30 days from the date of such notice (the "Accelerated Expiry Date").

In connection with the private Placement, the Company paid finders' fees of \$30,000, incurred other issuance costs of \$17,864 and issued 300,000 non-transferrable finder's compensation warrants. Each finder's compensation warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.11 per share until the earlier of March 11, 2018 or the Accelerated Expiry Date

- iii) On March 29, 2016, the Company completed a private placement (the "March 2016 Private Placement") and issued 3,000,000 flow-through units ("FT Unit") at a price of \$0.175 per FT Unit and 2,000,000 units ("Non-FT Unit") at a price of \$0.125 per Non-FT Unit for gross proceeds of \$775,000. Each FT Unit consists of one flow-through common share and one-half of one non-transferrable common share purchase warrant ("FT Warrant"). Each whole FT Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company at a price of \$0.20 per share until March 29, 2018, or if at any time following September 29, 2016, the closing price of the common shares on the TSX is \$0.25 or higher for a period of twenty consecutive trading days, the Company may, by notice to the holder reduce the expiry date of the warrants to not less than 30 days from the date of such notice ("Expiry Date"). Each Non-FT Unit consists of one common share and one-half of one non-transferrable common share purchase warrant (Non-FT Warrant"). Each whole Non-FT Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company at a price of \$0.175 per share until the Expiry Date.

In connection with the issuance of the FT Units, the Company paid finders' fees of \$31,500 and issued 180,000 non-transferrable finder's compensation warrants. Each finder's compensation warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.175 per share until March 29, 2018. The estimated fair value of the compensation warrants totaled \$8,203. The fair values of these compensation warrants were estimated using the Black-Scholes pricing model, with the following assumptions: expected dividend yield of nil; risk free interest rate of 0.51%; expected life of 2.0 years; and expected volatility of 72%.

The Company also incurred other issuance costs of \$14,263 relating to the March 2016 Private Placement.

On May 27, 2015, the Company raised \$4.0 million pursuant to a prospectus offering. The planned use of proceeds from this offering was to incur \$2.5 million in resource property expenditures and \$1.5 million on working capital and general corporate purposes. The Company completed its use of these proceeds during the quarter ended February 29, 2016. The actual use of proceeds was consistent with the planned use of proceeds as disclosed in the prospectus.

A joint venture with an industry partner or end-user may represent an attractive alternative for financing the further stages in the development of the Project as well as the projects at Separation

Rapids, East Kemptville, or Warren Township, once the capital requirements become relatively large.

The Company has an operating lease for its premises. As at the date of this MDA, the minimum lease commitments under these leases are as follows:

Fiscal year ended August 31, 2017	\$	236,778
2018	\$	316,944
2019	\$	316,944
2020	\$	105,648
2021 and thereafter	\$	-

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

As at August 31, 2016, the Company had no material off balance sheet arrangements such as guaranteed contracts, contingent interests in assets transferred to an entity, derivative instrument obligations or any instruments that could trigger financing, market or credit risk to the Company.

Transactions with Related Parties

Balances and transactions between the Company and its subsidiaries have been eliminated on consolidation and are not disclosed here. Details of the transactions between the Company and other related parties are disclosed below:

a) Trading transactions

There had been no material trading transactions with related parties during each of the years ended August 31, 2016 and 2015, other than the participation by certain related parties in certain equity offerings as listed below:

- (i) in December 2014, pursuant to the December 2014 Private Placement, 185,000 flow-through shares at \$0.27 per share were issued to Mr. Donald Bubar (a director and the President and CEO of the Company);
- (ii) in May 2015, pursuant to the Prospectus Offering, 50,000 Units at \$0.34 per unit were issued to the spouse of Mr. Bubar; and
- (iii) on March 11, 2016, pursuant to the Private Placement, 1,000,000 PP Units at \$0.10 per unit were issued to Mr. Bubar.

b) Compensation of key management

The remuneration of directors and other members of the Company's senior management team during the three and twelve months ended August 31, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Twelve months Ended	
	August 31, 2016	August 31, 2015	August 31, 2016	August 31, 2015
Salaries, benefits and directors' fees	\$ 397,618	\$ 499,380	\$ 1,803,314	\$ 1,957,710
Share based compensation ⁽¹⁾	61,352	122,836	337,541	691,224
	<u>\$ 458,970</u>	<u>\$ 622,216</u>	<u>\$ 2,140,855</u>	<u>\$ 2,648,934</u>

(1) Fair value of stock options earned and recognized as share based compensation during the respective reporting period.

Subsequent Events

Subsequent to the end of the Year, the Company:

- a) completed a private placement and issued 4,545,454 flow-through common shares at a price of \$0.22 per share for gross proceeds of \$1,000,000. In connection with the private placement, the Company paid finder's fees of \$60,000 and issued 272,727 non-transferrable finder's compensation warrants, with each finder's warrant. Each compensation warrants entitles the holder to purchase one common share of the Company at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share until November 7, 2018;
- b) issued 1,025,000 common shares at a price of \$0.15 per share pursuant to the exercise of 1,025,000 warrants;
- c) granted an aggregate of 1,490,000 stock options with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.17 per share to certain employees of the Company. The weighted average contract life of these options was 2.2 years; and
- d) had 650,000 stock options with a weighted average exercise price of \$3.36 per share expired;
- e) had 50,000 stock options with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.21 per share forfeited;
- f) had 2,215,985 common share purchase warrants with an exercise price of \$0.425 per share expired; and
- g) had 650,533 brokers' compensation warrants with an exercise price of \$0.34 per share expired.

Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and warrants denominated in foreign currency.

Management does not believe these financial instruments expose the Company to any significant interest, currency or credit risks arising from these financial instruments. The fair market values of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their carrying values.

The Company has 6,466,513 warrants outstanding as at August 31, 2016, with an original exercise price of US\$0.56 per share ("US\$ Warrants"). These warrants are subject to certain anti-dilution provisions, which may reduce the exercise price, with a floor of US\$0.5095 per share. The adjusted exercise price as calculated by the anti-dilution provisions as at August 31, 2016 and as at the date of this MDA is US\$0.5223. These warrants are exercisable until June 13, 2021. These warrants were recorded at fair value at the time of issuance, and are re-measured at fair value using the Black-Scholes pricing model at each financial statement reporting date, with the resulting change in fair value being recorded in the statement of comprehensive loss.

Interest income from cash and cash equivalents are recorded in the statement of comprehensive loss.

Outstanding Share Data

a) *Common and Preferred Shares*

The Company is presently authorized to issue an unlimited number of common shares without par value. The Company is also authorized to issue up to 25,000,000 preferred shares without par value, of which none have been issued.

As at August 31, 2016, the Company had 179,524,206 common shares issued and outstanding. Subsequent to the end of the Year, 1,025,000 common shares were issued pursuant to warrant exercises and 4,545,454 common shares were issued pursuant to a private placement (as described earlier under "Subsequent Events"). As at the date of this MDA, the Company has 185,094,660 common shares outstanding.

b) *Options*

As at August 31, 2016, the Company had an aggregate of 10,640,000 incentive stock options outstanding with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.96 (of which 7,551,250 were vested and 3,088,750 were unvested). Subsequent to the end of the Year, 1,490,000 options were granted, 650,000 stock options expired and 50,000 stock options were forfeited (as described earlier under "Subsequent Events"). As at the date of this MDA, the Company has 11,430,000 incentive stock options with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.73 outstanding.

c) *Warrants*

As at August 31, 2016 the Company has the following common share purchase warrants outstanding:

- i. 6,466,513 US\$ Warrants, with an original exercise price of US\$0.56 per share and are exercisable until June 13, 2021. These warrants are also subject to certain anti-dilution provisions, which may reduce the exercise price, with a floor of US\$0.5095 per share. The adjusted exercise price as calculated by the anti-dilution provisions as at August 31, 2016 and as at the date of this MDA is US\$0.5223;
- ii. 2,215,985 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.425 per share and exercisable until November 27, 2016;
- iii. 1,222,500 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.60 per share and exercisable until July 2, 2017;
- iv. 3,000,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.175 per share and exercisable until December 24, 2017;
- v. 50,000 warrants, issued pursuant to the Accommodation Agreement, with an average exercise price of \$0.63 per share and will expire as follows: 10,000 warrants on August 9, 2017, 10,000 warrants on July 31, 2018, 10,000 warrants on July 31, 2019, 10,000 on July 31, 2020 and 10,000 warrants on August 2, 2021;
- vi. 6,725,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.15 per share and exercisable until March 11, 2018, or if at any time following September 11, 2016, the closing price of the common shares on the TSX is \$0.25 or higher for a period of twenty consecutive trading days, the Company may, by notice to the holder reduce the

expiry date of the warrants to not less than 30 days from the date of such notice (“Accelerated Expiry Date”);

- vii. 1,500,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.20 per share and exercisable until March 29, 2018, or if at any time following September 29, 2016, the closing price of the common shares on the TSX is \$0.25 or higher for a period of twenty consecutive trading days, the Company may, by notice to the holder reduce the expiry date of the warrants to not less than 30 days from the date of such notice; and
- viii. 1,000,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.175 per share and exercisable until March 29, 2018, or if at any time following September 29, 2016, the closing price of the common shares on the TSX is \$0.25 or higher for a period of twenty consecutive trading days, the Company may, by notice to the holder reduce the expiry date of the warrants to not less than 30 days from the date of such notice.

Subsequent to the Year, 1,025,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.15 were exercised and 2,215,985 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.425 per share expired.

The Company is also committed to issue 20,000 warrants to the NWTMN in two equal installments of 10,000 warrants upon the Nechalacho Project meeting certain milestones. These warrants will have a contractual term of five years and will have an exercise price based on the then current market price of the Company’s common shares at the date of issue of the warrants.

d) *Brokers’ Compensation Warrants*

As at August 31, 2016, the Company had the following compensation warrants outstanding:

- i. 554,273 compensation warrants with an exercise price of US\$0.56 per common share, which are exercisable until June 13, 2017;
- ii. 527,806 compensation warrants with an exercise price of \$0.27 per common share, which are exercisable until December 19, 2016;
- iii. 650,533 compensation warrants with an exercise price of \$0.34 per common share, which are exercisable until November 27, 2016;
- iv. 300,000 compensation warrants with an exercise price of \$0.11 per common share, which are exercisable until the earlier of March 11, 2018 or the Accelerated Expiry Date; and
- v. 180,000 compensation warrants with an exercise price of \$0.175 per common share, which are exercisable until March 29, 2018.

Subsequent to the end of the Year, the Company issued 272,727 compensation warrants with an exercise price of \$0.25 per common share which are exercisable until November 7, 2018, and 650,533 brokers’ compensation warrants with an exercise price of \$0.34 per share expired, as described earlier under “Subsequent Events”.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that material information is gathered and reported to senior management, including the Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”), as appropriate, to permit timely decisions regarding public disclosure.

Management, including the CEO and CFO, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of August 31, 2016. Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed effectively to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in annual filings, interim filings, or other reports filed or submitted under Canadian securities legislation, or reports filed or submitted under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in those rules.

During the process of review and evaluation, it was determined that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are operating effectively as at August 31, 2016.

Design of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The CEO and CFO are also responsible for the design of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting ("ICFR") to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with IFRS. Because of its inherent limitation, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements.

Under the supervision, and with the participation, of the CEO and CFO, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's ICFR based on the framework Internal Control - Integrated Framework (COSO 2013 framework) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the design and operation of the Company's ICFR were effective as at August 31, 2016. No material weaknesses were identified by management during this evaluation.

There have been no changes to the Company's design of internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the Quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to affect, the Company's ICFR.

Critical Accounting Judgments and Estimation Uncertainties

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires that the Company's management make critical judgments, estimates and assumptions about future events that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto. Actual results may differ from those estimates. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed on an on-going basis based on historical experience and other factors that are considered to be relevant under the circumstances. Revisions to estimates are accounted for prospectively.

The Company has identified the following significant areas where critical accounting judgments, estimates and assumptions are made and where actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions and may materially affect financial results or the financial position reported in future periods.

Further details of the nature of these assumptions and conditions may be found in the relevant notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Key Sources of Estimation Uncertainty

Information about assumptions and estimation uncertainties that have a significant risk of resulting in a material adjustment are included in the following notes:

Recoverability of Exploration and Evaluation Assets, Development Assets and Property, Plant and Equipment

The Company assesses all exploration and evaluation assets, development assets and PPE at each reporting date to determine whether any indication of impairment exists. Where an indicator of impairment exists, a formal estimate of the recoverable amount is made, which is the higher of the fair value less costs of disposal and value in use. These assessments require the use of estimates and assumptions such as long-term commodity prices, discount rates, foreign exchange rates, future capital requirements, exploration potential and operating performance.

Determination of Reserve and Resource Estimates

Mineral reserves and resources are estimates of the amount of ore that can be economically and legally extracted from the Company's exploration and development properties. The estimation of recoverable reserves is based upon factors such as estimates of commodity prices, production costs, production techniques, future capital requirements and foreign exchange rates, along with geological assumptions and judgments made in estimating the size and grade of the ore body. Changes in the reserve or resource estimates may impact the carrying value of exploration and evaluation assets, development assets, PPE, site closure and reclamation provision and amortization expense.

Fair Value of Share Based Payments and Warrants

The Company follows IFRS 2, Share-based Payment, in determining the fair value of share based payments. This calculated amount is not based on historical cost, but is derived based on assumptions (such as the expected volatility of the price of the underlying security, expected hold period before exercise, dividend yield and the risk-free rate of return) input into a pricing model. The model requires that management make forecasts as to future events, including estimates of: the average future hold period of issued stock options and compensation warrants before exercise, expiry or cancellation; future volatility of the Company's share price in the expected hold period; dividend yield; and the appropriate risk-free rate of interest. The resulting value calculated is not necessarily the value that the holder of the option or warrant could receive in an arm's length transaction, given that there is no market for the options or compensation warrants and they are not transferable. Similar calculations are made in estimating the fair value of the warrant component of an equity unit. The assumptions used in these calculations are inherently uncertain. Changes in these assumptions could materially affect the related fair value estimates.

Site Closure and Reclamation Provision

The Company's accounting policy for the recognition of a site closure and reclamation obligation requires significant estimates and assumptions such as: requirements of the relevant legal and regulatory framework, the magnitude of possible disturbance and the timing thereof, extent and costs of required closure and rehabilitation activity, and discount rate. These uncertainties may result in future actual expenditures differing from the amounts currently provided.

Site closure and reclamation provision recognized is periodically reviewed and updated based on the facts and circumstances available at the time.

Property, Plant and Equipment - Estimated Useful Lives

Management estimates the useful lives of PPE based on the period during which the assets are expected to be available for use. The amounts and timing of recorded expenses for depreciation of PPE for any period are affected by these estimated useful lives. The estimates are reviewed at least annually and are updated if expectations change as a result of physical wear and tear, technical or commercial obsolescence and legal or other limits to use. It is possible that changes in these factors may cause significant changes in the estimated useful lives of the Company's PPE.

Critical Judgments

Information about critical judgments in applying accounting policies that have most significant effect on the consolidated financial statements are as follows:

Capitalization of Exploration and Evaluation Costs

Exploration and evaluation costs incurred during the year are recorded at cost. Capitalized costs include costs directly attributable to exploration and evaluation activities, including salaries and benefits of employees who are directly engaged in the exploration and evaluation activities. Administrative and other overhead costs are expensed. Management has determined that exploration and evaluation costs incurred during the year have future economic benefits and are economically recoverable. In making this judgment, management has assessed various sources of information including but not limited to the geologic and metallurgic information, history of conversion of mineral deposits to proven and probable mineral reserves, scoping and feasibility studies, proximity of operating facilities, operating management expertise and existing permits.

Changes in Accounting Policies Including Initial Adoption

The Company did not adopt any new accounting standards during the Year.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The following pronouncements are issued but not yet effective:

IFRS 9, Financial Instruments

IFRS 9, *Financial instruments* (“IFRS 9”) was issued by the IASB in July 2014 and will replace IAS 39, *Financial Instruments: recognition and measurement* (“IAS 39”). IFRS 9 utilizes a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value and a new mixed measurement model for debt instruments having only two categories: amortized cost and fair value. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. Final amendments released in July 2014 also introduce a new expected loss impairment model and limited changes to the classification and measurement requirements for financial assets. IFRS 9 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard and amendments on its consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts and Customers

IFRS 15, *Revenue from Contracts and Customers* (“IFRS 15”) was issued by the IASB in May 2014, and will replace IAS 18, *Revenue*, IAS 11, *Construction Contracts*, and related interpretations on revenue. IFRS 15 sets out the requirements for recognizing revenue that apply to all contracts with customers, except for contracts that are within the scope of the standards on leases, insurance contracts and financial instruments. IFRS 15 uses a control based approach to recognize revenue which is a change from the risk and reward approach under the current standard. Companies can elect to use either a full or modified retrospective approach when adopting this standard and it is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of IFRS 15 on its consolidated financial statements.

IFRS 16, Leases

IFRS 16, *Leases* (“IFRS 16”) was issued by the IASB in January 2016, and will replace IAS 17 *Leases*. IFRS 16 specifies the methodology to recognize, measure, present and disclose leases. The standard provides a single lessee accounting model, requiring lessees to recognize assets and liabilities for all leases except for short-term leases and leases with low value assets. IFRS 16 substantially carries forward the lessor accounting requirements in IAS 17. IFRS 16 is effective for

annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted if IFRS 15 has also been adopted. A lessee will apply IFRS 16 to its leases either retrospectively to each prior reporting period presented; or retrospectively with the cumulative effect of initially applying IFRS 16 being recognized at the date of initial application. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of IFRS 16 on its consolidated financial statements.

Forward-Looking Statements, Risk Factors and Qualified Persons

Certain of the statements that are not historical facts contained in this MDA are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from estimated or anticipated events or results reflected in the forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements reflect the Company's current views with respect to future events and include, among other things, statements regarding targets, estimates and/or assumptions in respect of reserves and/or resources, and are based on estimates and/or assumptions related to future economic, market and other conditions that, while considered reasonable by management, are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, including significant business, economic, competitive, political and social uncertainties and contingencies. These estimates and/or assumptions include, but are not limited to:

- grade of ore;
- mineral product and commodity prices;
- metallurgical recoveries;
- operating costs;
- achievement of current timetables for development;
- strength of the global economy;
- availability of additional capital; and
- availability of supplies, equipment and labour.

Factors that could cause the Company's actual results, performance, achievements, developments or events to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include, among others, the factors described or referred to under "Description of the Business - Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Information Form for the year ended August 31, 2016, and:

- risks related to the Company's history of losses, lack of operating history, ability to generate material revenues and continue as a going concern;
- risks related to establishing new mining operations in the event that the Company elects to proceed with the development of one of its mineral projects;
- risks related to the Company's need for additional financing;
- risks related to any joint venture or strategic alliances that may be entered into by the Company;
- risks related to the progression of the Separation Rapids Lithium Project to a positive feasibility stage;
- risks related to securing product off-take agreements on a timely basis;
- risks related to the unique ore type at the Nechalacho Rare Earth Elements Project ("Nechalacho" or the "Nechalacho Project") and the Separation Rapids Lithium Project for which known metallurgical processes have not previously been applied;
- uncertainty related to title to the Company's properties as well as the risk of delays in obtaining licenses and permits as a result of local opposition, including uncertainty related to any challenges in connection with Aboriginal land title claims and Aboriginal rights
- risks related to the possible existence of rights and interests of Aboriginal groups, which may limit the Company's ability to develop its properties;
- risks related to the need to acquire properties for the hydrometallurgical plant and potentially a rare earth refinery for the Nechalacho Project;
- risks that actual capital costs, production schedules and economic returns for the Nechalacho Project may differ significantly from those anticipated by the Company;
- risks related to the demand for rare metals and minerals and fluctuations in their pricing ;

- risks related to the demand for lithium and fluctuations in its pricing;
- risks related to competition and the actions of competitors;
- risks related to costs or delays in the commercialization of rare earth products;
- uncertainties related to the fact that the Company's mineral resources and mineral reserves are only estimates;
- risks related to the Company's ability to secure the required mineral tenure licenses at the East Kemptville Tin-Indium Project ("East Kemptville Project") which could adversely affect the Company's ability to conduct further studies and exploration activities;
- risks related to obtaining, maintaining and renewing licenses and permits, and the material costs, liabilities and obligations in connection therewith;
- risks that the Company will be subject to material costs, liabilities and obligations in connection with environmental laws, regulations and approvals and that approvals will not be available;
- uncertainties involving uninsured risks;
- risks related to possible shortages of supplies, equipment and labour;
- risks related to the Company's ability to attract and retain qualified management and technical personnel;
- uncertainty whether the Company will acquire commercially mineable ore deposits or whether the current mineral deposits identified by the Company can be developed as commercially viable ore bodies;
- risks inherent to the competitive nature of the mineral industry;
- risks related to the extensive federal, state, provincial, territorial and local laws and regulations to which the Company's activities are subject;
- risks related to the availability and reliability of adequate infrastructure;
- risks and hazards inherent to the mining industry;
- risks related to any changes in critical accounting estimates that adversely affect the Company's financial results;
- risks related to potential conflicts of interest of the Company's directors and officers who may have involvement with other resource companies;
- risks due to being a "passive foreign investment company" for U.S. purposes;
- risks related to fluctuations of currency exchange rates;
- risks related to share price volatility;
- risks related to dilution of existing shareholders;
- risks related to not paying cash dividends;
- risks related to being a non-US corporation; and
- risks related to there being no market for the Company's warrants.

Most of the foregoing factors are beyond the Company's ability to control or predict. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual results, performance, achievements, developments or events to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements, there may be other factors that cause actual results, performance, achievements, developments or events not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that the estimates and/or assumptions upon which these forward-looking statements are based will occur.

Readers can identify many of these statements by looking for words such as "believe", "expects", "will", "intends", "projects", "anticipates", "estimates", "continues" or similar words or the negative thereof. There can be no assurance that the plans, intentions or expectations upon which these forward-looking statements are based will occur.

The forward-looking statements contained herein are made as of the date of this MDA and are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. Readers should not place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements, which reflect management's plans, estimates, projections and views only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent events or circumstances, except as required by applicable law.

The technical information included in this MDA, unless otherwise stated, has been reviewed and approved by Donald S. Bubar, P. Geo., President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Dr. William Mercer, P. Geo., Vice-President, Exploration of the Company. Mr. Bubar and Dr. Mercer are both Qualified Persons under National Instrument 43-101 ("NI 43-101").

Notice Regarding Presentation of our Mineral Reserve and Resource Estimates

This MDA has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Canadian securities laws, which differ from the requirements of United States securities laws. Unless otherwise indicated, all reserve and resource estimates included in this MDA have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101. NI 43-101 is a rule developed by the Canadian Securities Administrators which establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects.

Canadian standards, including NI 43-101, differ significantly from the requirements of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), and reserve and resource information contained in this MDA may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by United States companies. In particular, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the term "resource" does not equate to the term "reserve". Under United States standards, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. The SEC's disclosure standards normally do not permit the inclusion of information concerning "measured mineral resources", "indicated mineral resources" or "inferred mineral resources" or other descriptions of the amount of mineralization in mineral deposits that do not constitute "reserves" by United States standards in documents filed with the SEC. United States investors should also understand that "inferred mineral resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an "inferred mineral resource" exists, is economically or legally mineable, or will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimated "inferred mineral resources" may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies except in rare cases. Disclosure of "contained ounces" in a resource estimate is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations; however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute "reserves" by SEC standards as in-place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. The requirements of NI 43-101 for identification of "reserves" are also not the same as those of the SEC, and reserves reported by Avalon in compliance with NI 43-101 may not qualify as "reserves" under SEC standards. Accordingly, information concerning mineral deposits set forth herein may not be comparable with information made public by companies that report in accordance with United States standards.

Other Information

Additional information on the Company is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and on the Company's website at www.avalonadvancedmaterials.com.